

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,634	04/05/2001	Francis S. Nakayama	0217.97R	5519
25278 75	590 03/19/2002			
USDA-ARS-OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PATENT ADVISORS OFFICE WESTERN REGIONAL RESEARCH CENTER			EXAMINER	
			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
800 BUCHANAN ST ALBANY, CA 94710			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		1711	Я
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ドン~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sh	neet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	—3— MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by deference to respond within the set or extended period for response will, 	a response within the ault, expire SIX (6) MC	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
D/Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948	3.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	led to by the Exam	iner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	Mar 25 11 C C & 11	O(a) (d)
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	the priority docume	ents have been
received in this national stage application from the Inte		
		•
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
Attachment(s)	o(s). 4 & 7	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
	o(s). 4 & 7	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-155

Application/Control Number: 09828634

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-19 are under examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are vague in reciting "ssp" in light 1 because it is not clear what "ssp" stands for.

Claim 16 is further indefinite in failing to point out and distinctly claim what is encompassed by scope of this claim.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 09828634

Art Unit: 1711

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kay et al (USP 4647607) in view of Bultman et al (Proceedings of 4th international conference; Dec. 1986, p. 353-356).

(Both references are on PTO-1449 paper nos 7 and 4 resp)...

Kay discloses synthetic rubber with guayule resin stabilization (abstract). Rubbers that can be stabilized are those with the ethylenic unsauration including styrene-butadiene, ethylene—propylene copolymer etc (col. 2, lines 45-53). Guayule resin is added at 0.5 to 20 parts by wt. per 100 parts by wt of synthetic rubber (co. 3, lines 42-46). Guayule plant, which produces guayule resin, is processed (col. 3, lines 1-37) by a certain method.

Kay fails to disclose Parthenium plant (of instant clam 1).

Bultman describes the evaluation of guayule resin as a pesticide. Parthenium argentalum is a guayule plant from which guayule resin is obtained (p. 353, paragraphs 1 and 2).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the guayule resin used by Kay is obtained from Parthenium argentalum plant (as disclosed by Bultman).

Prior art is silent about bagasse (of instant claim 3), various forms of plant material (of instant claim 6), thermosetting polymers (of instant claim 1), virgin and recycled plastic (of instant claim 13) and particle board or fiberboard (of instant claim 15). It is the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09828634

Art Unit: 1711

position- that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to (a) use bagasse of a plant in order to minimize waste (since bagasse may contain some useful grauyule resin), (b) use thermosetting polymers, virgin as well as recycled plastic since these polymers are also expected to be equally suitable like those disclosed by Kay and also (c) make articles like particle board or fiber board since these articles are conventionally made from plant materials.

Prior art is also silent on termite infestation, resistance to fungi and termite (of instant claims 17-19). Since the prior art discloses a composition having (claimed) ingredients, in proportions that are similar to or overlap those that are claimed, it is reasonable to assume that the disclosed composition inherently satisfies limitations encompassed by instant claims 17-19.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (703) 308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310/9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

UKRajguru:evh

3/11/02